MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Several motions are pending before the court. Each motion is addressed in turn.

1. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery (#30)

Plaintiff filed his motion to compel discovery (#30), defendants opposed (#32), and plaintiff filed no reply. On August 6, 2010, plaintiff filed a nearly identical motion to compel (#8), which was fully briefed. The court denied plaintiff's motion on October 20, 2010 (#12). Plaintiff did not object to this court's order denying his original motion to compel and simply resubmits it without citing any reason for doing so. The motion to compel (#30) is **DENIED**.

2. Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time (#33)

The deadline to file a dispositive motion in this case was June 30, 2011 (#19) and defendants timely filed their motion for summary judgment on June 15, 2011 (#26). However, defendants do not oppose giving plaintiff an additional sixty days, or until August 29, 2011, to allow plaintiff to file his own motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff's motion for extension of time (#33) is GRANTED. However, plaintiff will be given no further extensions of time.

3. Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time to Oppose Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (First Request) (#38)

Plaintiff has also moved for an extension of time, until **September 24, 2011**, in which to filed his opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment, and defendants filed a notice of non-opposition (#41). Plaintiff's motion (#38) is **GRANTED**. However, there will be no further extensions of this order.

4. Plaintiff's Motion to Stay Pending Ruling on Objection (#35)

Plaintiff has also filed an objection to this court's order (#27) and a request for stay of all pending deadlines pending decision on objection (#s 34 & 35). The District Court will rule on plaintiff's objection to this court's June 15, 2011 order. However, because this court denies plaintiff's motion to compel discovery (#30) and has granted plaintiff's motion for extension of time to file his own dispositive motion (#33) and to oppose defendants' motion for summary judgment, plaintiff's motion for a stay of all pending deadline pending decision on objection (#34) is **DENIED**.

5. Plaintiff's Request for Certification and Notice under Fed.R.Civ.P. 5.1 of a challenge to a federal statute (#39)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.1 requires a party who is challenging the constitutionality of a federal statute to promptly identify the constitutional challenge and serve notice of the challenge on the Attorney General of the United States. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2403, the court must certify that the appropriate attorney general has been questioned.

Fed.R.Civ.P. 5.1 is inapplicable in this case. Defendants are not challenging the constitutionality of RLUIPA; therefore notification and certification pursuant to Fed.R.Civ. P. 5.1 and 28 U.S.C. § 2403 is not necessary. Plaintiff's motion (#39) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

		,
By:	/s/	=
	Deputy Clerk	

LANCE'S WILSON, CLERK